



Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Dr. Arash Behboodi, Markus Rothe

## Exercise 7

# - Proposed Solution -

Friday, November 30, 2018

#### **Solution of Problem 1**

a) Note that:

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 = \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{x}_j - 2\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j^T.$$

It is easy to check that:

$$(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T)_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T.$$

Consider  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n]^T$ . We have:

$$\mathbf{1}_n\hat{\mathbf{x}}^T = egin{bmatrix} rac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & rac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_n^T\mathbf{x}_n \ rac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & rac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_n^T\mathbf{x}_n \ dots & \ddots & dots \ rac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & rac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_n^T\mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This means that  $(\mathbf{1}_n \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{x}_j$  and moreover  $(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i$ 

Therefore:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{X})\right)_{ij} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X})_{ij} - (\mathbf{1}_n\hat{\mathbf{x}}^T)_{ij} - (\hat{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{1}_n^T)_{ij}.$$

The element-wise identity implies the desired identity.

b) Since  $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)}\mathbf{E}_n$  is non-negative definite and has the rank  $\mathrm{rk}(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)}\mathbf{E}_n) \leq k$ , it can be written as:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T,$$

where  $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k$  are top k eigenvalues of the matrix  $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(2)}\mathbf{E}_n$  with corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_k$ . This can be obtained from spectral decomposition of  $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(2)}\mathbf{E}_n$ . Using this representation, the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  can be constructed as  $\mathbf{X} = [\sqrt{\lambda_1}\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\sqrt{\lambda_k}\mathbf{v}_k]$ . It can be seen that:

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n.$$

Moreover the image of  $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n\Delta^{(2)}\mathbf{E}_n$  is a subset of the image of  $\mathbf{E}_n$ . Therefore for all non-zero  $\lambda_i$ , the corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_i$  belongs to the image of  $\mathbf{E}_n$  and since it is an orthogonal projection:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_i$$
.

If  $\lambda_i = 0$ , then trivially  $\mathbf{E}_n \sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathbf{v}_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathbf{v}_i = 0$ . This means that:

$$\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \implies \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{X}^T.$$

c) The direction where  $\mathbf{A} = 0$  is trivial. Let us assume  $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{E}_n = 0$ . This means that the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  takes each vector in the image of  $\mathbf{E}_n$  to the kernel of  $\mathbf{E}_n$ . Note that the kernel of  $\mathbf{E}_n$  is spanned by  $\mathbf{1}_n$ , so for each  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{1}_n = 0$ , we have:

$$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}; \mathbf{Av} = \alpha \mathbf{1}_n.$$

Pich  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j$ . The equation above implies that  $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v})_i = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v})_j$ . But  $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v})_k = a_{ki} - a_{kj}$ . Therefore:

$$a_{ii} - a_{ij} = a_{ji} - a_{jj}.$$

But  $a_{kk} = 0$  for all  $1 \le k \le n$  and **A** is symmetric. Therefore  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all i, j which means that  $\mathbf{A} = 0$ .

### Solution of Problem 2

a) First of all, note that:

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n.$$

Moreover:

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} (\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T) (\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T)^T.$$

Therefore:

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} (\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T) (\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)^T = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{E}_n^T \mathbf{X}^T.$$

Using  $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{E}_n$ , we have  $\mathbf{S}_n$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T$ .

b) The result of PCA is  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}_i - \overline{\mathbf{x}})$ . This is indeed equal to  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}_i - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{1}_n)$ . Constructing the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  as suggested, the projected points can be written as:

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n^T) = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n.$$

c) Let the singular value decomposition of  $XE_n$  be:

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{U}_{p\times p}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}_{n\times p}^T.$$

It is known that:

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{U}^T,$$

and top k eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{S}_n$  are given therefore by picking first k columns of  $\mathbf{U}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{U}_k$ . In any case, we have:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T\mathbf{X} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T\mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_1^T\mathbf{x}_n \ dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathbf{u}_k^T\mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_k^T\mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = [\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1,\dots,\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n],$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$  is the projected point into the k dimensional subspace. From the previous point, the projected points are given by  $\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$ .

See that:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T.$$

But:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T\mathbf{U} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T\mathbf{u}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_1^T\mathbf{u}_p \ dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathbf{u}_k^T\mathbf{u}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_k^T\mathbf{u}_p \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{I}_k \ \mathbf{0}_{k imes p-k}].$$

Using the fact that  $\Lambda_{ii}^2 = \lambda_i$ , we have:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} = [\mathbf{I}_k \ \mathbf{0}_{k \times p-k}] \mathbf{\Lambda} = [\operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k})_{k \times k} \ \mathbf{0}_{k \times p-k}]$$

Now write  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_p]$  where  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T = [\operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k})_{k \times k} \ \mathbf{0}_{k \times p - k}] \mathbf{V}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k^T \end{bmatrix}$$

d) MDS starts by finding  $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{E}_n$  which is  $\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n$  for Euclidean distance matrix. The spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n$  is then found by  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}$  diag $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\hat{\mathbf{V}}^T$  where  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}=[\hat{\mathbf{v}}_1\ldots\hat{\mathbf{v}}_n]$  is the eigenvector matrix. Using SVD of  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n$  above we get:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{V}^T.$$

Therefore if  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_p]$ , then for  $i = 1, \dots, p$  we have:

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_i = \mathbf{v}_i$$
.

The solution to MDS is then  $\mathbf{X}^{*T} = [\sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ . This means that:  $\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{X}^*$ .

It shows that applying MDS on the distance matrix  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X})$  provides the same result as PCA.

**Remark:** There is another way of showing this equivalence. Note that  $\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T$  and let  $\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^T$  be its spectral decomposition. Suppose that  $(\lambda, \mathbf{u})$  is eigenvalue-eigenvector of  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)^T$ . Then:

$$(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)^T(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)^T\mathbf{u} = \lambda(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)^T\mathbf{u}.$$

This means that  $(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)^T\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{u}$  is an eigenvector of  $(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n)^T(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n) = \mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n$  and  $\lambda$  is its eigenvalue. So top k eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T$  remains the same for  $\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{u}_1, \dots \mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{u}_k$  are top k eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{E}_n\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_n$ . They are orthogonal but they do not have unit norm:

$$\mathbf{u}^T (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n) (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n)^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^T \lambda \mathbf{u} = \lambda.$$

Therefore a normalization by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$  is needed. So top k eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$  is given by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{u}_k$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{MDS}}^*$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{MDS}}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{u}_1)^T \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{u}_k)^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{u}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{u}_k^T \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n.$$

But we have seen above that  $\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$  is  $X_{\text{PCA}}^*$  and therefore the desired result follows.

#### Solution of Problem 3

Consider four samples in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

MDS steps are as follows:

a) Find  $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$  where  $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_n]$ . In this step,  $\mathbf{X}$  is obtained as:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -4 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have:

$$\mathbf{E}_{n}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{E}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 15.875 & 11.625 & -9.125 & -18.375 \\ 11.625 & 21.375 & -18.375 & -14.625 \\ -9.125 & -18.375 & 15.875 & 11.625 \\ -18.375 & -14.625 & 11.625 & 21.375 \end{bmatrix}$$

b) Find spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{V} \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{V}^T$ . For this example eigenvalues and eigenvectors are given by:

$$\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 61\\13.5\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.45267873 & 0.5 & -0.65666815 & 0.25502096 \\ -0.54321448 & -0.5 & -0.31320188 & -0.63102251 \\ 0.45267873 & 0.5 & -0.28197767 & -0.71157191 \\ 0.54321448 & -0.5 & -0.62544394 & 0.17447155 \end{bmatrix}$$

c)  $\mathbf{X}^*$  is given by  $[\sqrt{\lambda_1}\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\sqrt{\lambda_k}\mathbf{v}_k]^T$ .

$$\mathbf{X}^{*T} = \begin{bmatrix} -3.53553391 & 1.83711731 \\ -4.24264069 & -1.83711731 \\ 3.53553391 & 1.83711731 \\ 4.24264069 & -1.83711731 \end{bmatrix}$$

Checking with PCA process, similar output is found.