

# Exercise 10 in Advanced Methods of Cryptography - Proposed Solution -

Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Henning Maier, Markus Rothe  
2014-01-19

## Solution of Problem 32

Useful sources to study the Kerberos protocol are, e.g.:

- *Trappe, Washington - Introduction to Cryptography with Coding theory (Chapter 13)*
- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kerberos\\_\(protocol\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kerberos_(protocol))

### Unilateral authentication by the Kerberos protocol with a ticket granting server:

1. *User logon, A requests client authentication at T to use G:*  
 $A \rightarrow T : A, G$
2. *T grants client authentication for A at G:*  
 $T$  generates session key  $k_{AG}$ .  
 $T$  generates a ticket granting ticket (TGT):  $TGT = G, E_{k_{TG}}(A, t_1, l_1, k_{AG})$ .  
 $T \rightarrow A : E_{k_{AT}}(k_{AG}), TGT$
3. *A requests client authentication for service at G:*  
 $A$  recovers  $k_{AG}$  using the shared key  $k_{AT}$ .  
 $A$  generates an authenticator  $a_{AG} = E_{k_{AG}}(A, t_2)$ .  
 $A \rightarrow G : a_{AG}, TGT$
4. *G grants service to A:*  
 $G$  recovers  $A, t_1, l_1, k_{AG}$  from the TGT using  $k_{TG}$ .  
 $G$  recovers  $A, t_2$  from  $a_{AG}$  using  $k_{AG}$ .  
 $G$  checks if the time stamp is within the validity period  $(t_2 - t_1) < l_1$ .  
 $G$  verifies  $A$  if authenticator and the ticket are correct.  
 $G$  generates session key  $k_{AB}$  and service ticket  $ST$  using  $k_{BG}$ :  $ST = E_{k_{BG}}(A, t_3, l_2, k_{AB})$ .  
 $G \rightarrow A : ST, E_{k_{AG}}(k_{AB})$
5. *A communicates with B with the authenticated service of G:*  
 $A$  recovers  $k_{AB}$  using  $k_{AG}$ .  
 $A$  generates authenticator  $a_{AB} = E_{k_{AB}}(A, t_4)$ .  
 $A \rightarrow B : a_{AB}, ST$   
 $B$  recovers  $A, t_3, l_2, k_{AB}$  from  $ST$  using  $k_{BG}$ .  
 $B$  recovers  $A$  and  $t_4$  from  $a_{AB}$  using  $k_{AB}$ .  
 $B$  checks if the time stamp is within the validity period  $(t_4 - t_3) < l_2$ .  
 $B$  verifies  $A$  if authenticator and service ticket are correct.  
Then,  $A$  is successfully authenticated to  $B$ .

## Solution of Problem 33

a) The secret service (MI5) chooses an arbitrary seed  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  per iteration.

The MI5 calculates the quadratic residue  $y \equiv s^2 \pmod n$ :

MI5  $\rightarrow$  JB:  $y$

JB calculates the four square roots of  $y$  modulo  $n$  using the factors  $p, q$  of  $n$ .

JB chooses a square root  $x$ :

JB  $\rightarrow$  MI5:  $x$

The MI5 verifies that  $x^2 \equiv y \pmod n$ .

Since JB has no information about  $s$ , he chooses the  $x$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ , such that  $x \not\equiv \pm s \pmod n$ .

If the MI5 receives such an  $x$ ,  $n$  can be factorized:

$$\begin{aligned}y &\equiv s^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod n \\ \Rightarrow s^2 - x^2 &\equiv 0 \pmod n \\ \Rightarrow (s - x)(s + x) &\equiv 0 \pmod n.\end{aligned}$$

The probability that JB always fails by sending  $x \equiv \pm s \pmod n$  in all 20 submissions is:

$$\frac{1}{2^{20}} = \frac{1}{1048576} \approx 10^{-6}.$$

b) *Zero-knowledge property*: No information about the secret may be revealed during the response.

However, in this protocol it is even possible, that the full secret  $s$  is revealed. Hence, this is not secure a zero-knowledge protocol!

c) A passive eavesdropper  $E$  can only obtain the values  $x$  and  $y$ .  $E$  only knows the square roots  $\pm x$  of  $y$  modulo  $n$ , which is useless in the next iteration. This knowledge is not sufficient to factorize  $n$ .

## Solution of Problem 34

Parameters:  $n = pq$  with  $p, q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $p, q$  secret primes.

Each user chooses an arbitrary sequence of seeds  $s_1, \dots, s_K \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , with  $\gcd(s_i, n) = 1$  and publishes:  $v_i = (s_i^2)^{-1} \pmod{n}$ .

A public hash function is applied:

$$H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{(b_1, \dots, b_K) \mid b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

Signature generation:

- (i) A chooses an arbitrary value  $r \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and calculates  $x \equiv r^2 \pmod{n}$ . (witness)
- (ii) A calculates:  $h(m, x) = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  (challenge)  
and afterwards  $y \equiv r \prod_{j=1}^K s_j^{b_j} \pmod{n}$  (response)
- (iii) The signature of  $m$  is  $(x, y)$ :  
 $A \rightarrow B : m, x, y$

Verification:

- (i) B calculates  $h(m, x) = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ . (challenge)
- (ii) B calculates  $z \equiv y^2 \prod_{j=1}^K v_j^{b_j} \pmod{n}$ . (response)
- (iii) B accepts the signature if  $z = x$  holds.

Proof that this signature and verification scheme is correct:

$$z = y^2 \prod_{j=1}^K v_j^{b_j} \equiv \underbrace{r^2}_{\equiv x} \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^K s_j^{2b_j} \prod_{j=1}^K v_j^{b_j}}_{\equiv 1} \equiv x \pmod{n}. \blacksquare$$