

13.2 The Group law

On the set of L -rational points $E(L)$ an algebraic operation " $+$ " is defined
 Geometric interpretation: \rightarrow slides

The corresponding formulae are carried over to E over finite fields.

Addition in $E(L)$:

Let $P = (x, y)$, $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$, $P_2 = (x_2, y_2) \in E(L)$

$$(i) P + \theta = \theta + P = P \quad (\theta \text{ is the neutral element})$$

$$(ii) P + (x, -y) = (x, -y) + P = -P + P = P + (-P) = \theta$$

(iii) If $P_1 \neq \pm P_2$, then $P_3 = (x_3, y_3) = P_1 + P_2$ is defined as

$$x_3 = \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \right)^2 - x_1 - x_2, \quad y_3 = \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1} \right)(x_1 - x_3) - y_1$$

(iv) If $P \neq -P$, then $2P = P + P = (x_3, y_3)$ is defined as

$$x_3 = \left(\frac{3x^2 + a}{2y} \right) - 2x, \quad y_3 = \frac{3x^2 + a}{2y} (x - x_3) - y$$

Theorem 13.2 $(E(L), +)$ is an Abelian group with unit element θ

Proof: "Simply" check

- $P_1 + P_2 \in E(L)$, θ is unit element, $-P$ is inverse
- associative law • commutative law

Example: $a=0, b=1$ ($y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$) over \mathbb{F}_5

$$\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b) \equiv 4(2 \cdot 1) \equiv 8 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$E = x^3 + 1$ is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_5 .

z	z^2	z^3	$z^3 + 1$
0	0	0	1
1	1	1	2
2	4	3	4
3	4	2	3
4	1	4	0

Look, where " $z_1^2 = z_2^3 + 1$ " $\Rightarrow (z_2, z_1) \in E(\mathbb{F}_5)$

$$E(\mathbb{F}_5) = \{(0, 1), (0, 4), (2, 2), (2, 3), (4, 0), \infty\} \quad |E(\mathbb{F}_5)| = 6$$

$G = (2, 2)$ is a generator of $E(\mathbb{F}_5)$

$$G + G = 2 \cdot G = (2, 2) + (2, 2) = (0, 4)$$

$$G + G + G = 3 \cdot G = 2 \cdot G + G = (0, 4) + (2, 2) = (4, 0) = -3G$$

$$4 \cdot (2, 2) = (4, 0) + (2, 2) = (0, 1) = -2G$$

$$5 \cdot (2, 2) = (0, 1) + (1, 2) = (2, 3) = -G$$

$$6 \cdot (2, 2) = \infty$$

Hence, $E(\mathbb{F}_5) \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ cyclic group of order 6

Example: $a=1, b=0$ (\mathbb{F}_{23}) $\Delta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{23}$ $|E(\mathbb{F}_{23})| = 24$

Group order : $\# E(K)$

If $K = \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^k$, there are only finitely many points in $E(K)$.
 $\sigma \in E(K)$ always, hence $\# E(K) \geq 1$.

For any fixed $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ has at most 2 solutions, as \mathbb{F}_q is a field. Hence,

$$\# E(K) \leq 2q + 1$$

Write $\# E(K) = q + 1 - t$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|t| \leq q$.
This is called the trace of E .

Theorem 13.3 (Hane, 1933)

$$|t| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$$

Remarks :

a) $q + 1 - 2\sqrt{q} \leq \# E(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq q + 1 + 2\sqrt{q}$

Hence $\# E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is in the magnitude of q .

b) Knowledge of $\# E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is important for cryptographic applications.

c) $\# E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ may be determined by point counting alg.
(Schoof alg.), or construct E with prescribed order
(complex-multiplication) method.

In the previous example : $\# E(\mathbb{F}_5) = 6 = 5 + 1$, hence $t = 0$
 $\# E(\mathbb{F}_{23}) = 24 = 23 + 1$, hence $t = 0$

13.3 The DLP on Elliptic Curves

For the construction of cryptosystems on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we first have to rephrase the DLP for elliptic curves.

Def.: Given an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_q and a point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Let $\text{ord}(P) = n$ and let $Q \in \langle P \rangle = \{bP \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$

If $Q = a \cdot P$ then a is called the discrete logarithm at Q to the base P .

To determine $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $Q = a \cdot P$, if Q and P are given, is called the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP).

It is easy to compute $a \cdot P$:

Algorithm Double and Add

Input: Point on elliptic curve P , $a = (a_t, \dots, a_0)_2 \in \mathbb{N}$
Output: $a \cdot P \in E$

$Q \leftarrow P$

for ($i = t-1$; $i \geq 0$; $i--$)

$Q \leftarrow 2Q$

if ($a_i = 1$)

$Q \leftarrow Q + P$

endif

end for

return Q

The number of doublings is $\lceil \log_2(a) \rceil$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} a_i$ additions.

Is it hard to solve the DLP / ECDLP?

Consider algorithms and methods for the computation of DLs.

Algorithms for solving DLP / EC DLP

- Generic alg. - applicable to arbitrary groups

a) exhaustive search: check for all $a = 0, \dots, n-1$
whether $Q = a \cdot P$

Complexity $\mathcal{O}(n)$: worst case: n computations

b) Babystep - Giantstep - Alg.: (Shanks)

$$\text{Let } m = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$$

There exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{0, \dots, m-1\} \ni r \cdot t \quad a = q \cdot m + r$

$$\Rightarrow Q = a \cdot P = q \cdot m \cdot P + r \cdot P \quad (\Rightarrow Q - r \cdot P = q \cdot m \cdot P)$$

(compute all values $Q - r \cdot P$, $0 \leq r \leq m-1$ and store them)

If $Q - r \cdot P = 0$, for some r , we're done (Babysteps)

Otherwise compute $m \cdot P$ and then successively $q \cdot m \cdot P$
and compare (Giant steps)

Complexity: m Babysteps, m Giantsteps, m values to be stored

$\sim \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ (memory & computing complexity)