
Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Dr. Michael Reyer, Jose Leon, Qinwei He

Exercise 4

Friday, November 17, 2017

Problem 1. (*Collision in hash functions*) Consider the following function:

$$h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*, k \mapsto \left(\left[10000 \left((k)_{10} (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2 - \lfloor (k)_{10} (1 + \sqrt{5}) / 2 \rfloor \right) \right] \right)_2.$$

Here, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor function of x (round down to the next integer smaller than x). For computing $h(k)$, the bitstring k is identified with the positive integer it represents. The result is then converted to binary representation.

(example: $k = 10011$, $(k)_{10} = 19$, $h(k) = (7426)_2 = 1110100000010$)

- a) Determine the maximal length of the output of h .
- b) Give a collision for h .

Problem 2. (*number of messages and hardware resources of two hash functions*) Consider two hash functions, one with an output length of 64 bits and another one with an output length of 128 bits.

For each of these functions, do the following:

- a) Determine the number of messages that have to be created to find a collision with a probability larger than 0.86 by means of the birthday paradox.
- b) Determine the hardware resources required for this attack in terms of memory size, number of comparisons, and number of hash function executions.

Problem 3.

- a) Explain the four requirements for a cryptographic hash function

Let $h : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a function that is a second-preimage and collision free. Let $h' : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a function given by the rule:

$$h'(m) = \begin{cases} 0 \parallel m & m \in \{0, 1\}^n, \\ 1 \parallel h(m) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the symbol \parallel denotes concatenation.

- b) Show that h' is not preimage resistant, but still second-preimage resistant.

Let the input data be of the form $X = (X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1})$ where each X_i is a byte. Consider the following hash function: $h: \{0, 1\}^8 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^8$

$$h(X) = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_{n-1},$$

where \oplus stands for bitwise addition modulo two.

- c) Considering $X \neq 0$, is this a secure hashing method in the sense that collisions are hard to find? Substantiate your answer.

Consider the following signature scheme. The scheme operates on \mathbb{Z}_q for a large prime q and a generator g of \mathbb{Z}_q . A user has a private key $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and a public key $X = g^\alpha \pmod q$.

To sign a message m , one first computes $h = H(m)$ for some hash function H . Then one computes $z = \alpha/h$ with $h^{-1} \pmod{q-1}$ (assuming $h \neq 0$). The signature is $s = g^z \pmod q$. The verification of signature s consists of checking that $s^h \equiv X \pmod q$.

- d) Will valid signatures be accepted?
 e) Is it feasible to sign an arbitrary message without knowing α ?