

9.4.2 Blum-Goldwasser Cryptosystem

• Key generation:

- (i) $p \neq q$ primes $p, q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $n = p \cdot q$
- (ii) compute a, b with $a \cdot p + b \cdot q = 1$
- (iii) Public key n , private key (p, q, a, b)

• Encryption: Let $h \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor \rfloor$

Message: $m = (m_1, \dots, m_t) \in \{0, 1\}^{ht}$, each m_i is of size h (bits)

Blum-Blum-Shub (BBS) generator for generating pseudo random bits b_i

- Select a random QR mod n : x_0

(Select randomly $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, let $x_0 \equiv r^2 \pmod{n}$)

- Iterate: $x_i = x_{i-1}^2 \pmod{n}$ $i = 1, \dots, t+1$

b_i denotes the h least significant (last) bits of x_i

$$c_i = m_i \oplus b_i$$

$$\text{Ciphertext: } c = (c_1, \dots, c_t, x_{t+1})$$

• Decryption:

$$d_1 = \left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{p-1}, \quad d_2 = \left(\frac{q+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{q-1}$$

$$u = (x_{t+1})^{d_1} \pmod{p}, \quad v = (x_{t+1})^{d_2} \pmod{q}$$

$$x_0 = (v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q) \pmod{n}$$

$$\text{Iterate: } x_i = x_{i-1}^2 \pmod{n} \quad i = 1, \dots, t+1$$

$$m_i = c_i \oplus b_i$$

Prop. 9.15 The decryption of the BG cryptosystem is correct.

Proof: The only remaining point is to show that x_0 is correct.

$$x_i = 0, \dots, t-1 : x_i \in \mathbb{R} \pmod{n} \stackrel{\text{Prop 9.1}}{\Rightarrow} x_i \in \mathbb{R} \pmod{p} \stackrel{\text{Prop 9.2}}{\Rightarrow} x_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{Hence, } x_{i+t} \equiv (x_i^2)^{\frac{p+1}{4}} \equiv x_i^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \equiv x_i \cdot x_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv x_i \pmod{p} \quad (*)$$

By induction it follows:

$$u = (x_{t+1})^{d_1} \stackrel{(**)}{\equiv} (x_{t+1})^{\left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^{t+1}} \equiv \left[(x_{t+1})^{\frac{p+1}{4}} \right]^{\left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^t} \\ \stackrel{(*)}{\equiv} (x_t)^{\left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^t} \equiv \dots \equiv x_1^{\frac{p+1}{4}} \stackrel{(*)}{\equiv} x_0 \pmod{p}$$

$$[\text{In } (**): d \equiv e \pmod{p-1} \Rightarrow x^d \equiv x^e \pmod{p}]$$

$$\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} : d = e + k(p-1)$$

$$x^d \equiv x^{e+k(p-1)} \equiv x^e \underbrace{(x^{p-1})^k}_{\equiv 1 \text{ (Fermat)}} \equiv x^e \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{Analogously } v \equiv x_{t+1}^{d_2} \equiv x_0 \pmod{q}$$

$$x_0 \equiv v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{p}$$

$$x_0 \equiv v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{q}$$

$$\text{By Prop 8.1 } x_0 \equiv v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{n}$$

Example 9.15 (with artificially small parameters)

Key generation: $p = 499$, $q = 547$ ($\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$)

$n = p \cdot q = 272953$, $\log_2(\log_2(n)) \approx 4.175 \approx 4$

EEA: $a \cdot p + b \cdot q = 1 = \gcd(p, q)$ $a = -57$, $b = 52$

Encryption: $h = 4$, $t = 5$

$m = (m_1, \dots, m_5) = (1001 | 1100 | 10001 | 0000 | 1100)$

Choose random $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$: $r = 399$

$x_0 = 399^2 \pmod{n} = 159201$

i	$x_i = x_{i-1}^2 \pmod{n}$	b_i	$c_i = m_i \oplus b_i$
1	180539	1011	0010
2	193932	1100	0000
3	245613	1101	1100
4	130286	1110	1110
5	40632	1000	0100
$6 = t+1$	139680		

$C = (0010 | 0000 | 1100 | 1110 | 0100, 139680)$

Decryption:

$d_1 = \left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{p-1} = 463$

$d_2 = \left(\frac{q+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{q-1} = 337$

$u = (x_{t+1})^{d_1} \pmod{p} = 20$

$v = (x_{t+1})^{d_2} \pmod{q} = 24$

$x_0 = v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{n} = 159201$

Security of the BG cryptosystem

- a) An eavesdropper sees the QR x_{t+1} . To determine x_t means to solve $QRSP(x_{t+1}, n)$, which is considered computationally infeasible.
- b) The BG cryptosystem is vulnerable to chosen ciphertext attacks.
- Opponent access x_t .
- Opponent selects a random message $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, computes
- $$x_{t+1} = m^2 \pmod{n}$$
- There are 4 solutions of $x_{t+1} = m^2 \pmod{n}$
- If $x_t \neq \pm m$ then $\gcd(x_t - m, n) \in \{p, q\}$
- If $x_t = \pm m$ then select a new random message m .
- This attack is analogous to the one in the Rabin cryptosystem.

Efficiency of the BG system

- a) The message expansion is constant by $\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil$ bits, the representation of x_{t+1} .
- b) Computational effort is comparable to RSA, both in the encryption and decryption.

10. Cryptographic Hash Functions

One-way hash function, mapping messages of arbitrary length to a digest of fixed length n , typically $n = 64, 128, 160$ bits.

Applications

- Signature Schemes, sign the hash of a document rather than a long document itself.
- Data integrity, software protection, protection against viruses
(MDC - Modification (Manipulation) detection code
MAC - Message authentication code)

Hash functions are typically publicly known and involve no secret keys.

Formal description of hash functions:

\mathcal{M} : message space (e.g., $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^l = \{0,1\}^*$)

\mathcal{Y} : Finite set of possible hash values (digest, hash digest authentication tags) (e.g., $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\}^n$; $n \in \{64, 128, 160\}$)

\mathcal{K} : key space (finite set)

h : hash function $h: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}: (m, k) \mapsto h(m, k)$

h is called unkeyed, if $|\mathcal{K}| = 1$ or $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$

$(m, h(m))$ is called a valid pair.

10.1 | Security of hash functions

In the following we are considering unkeyed hash functions.

"It is computationally infeasible to compute preimages or to generate collisions." leads to the following:

Basic properties of cryptographic hash function $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$

1. Given $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $h(m)$ is easy to compute

Further, the solution of the following problems is computationally infeasible

2. Given $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, find $m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $h(m) = y$.

In this case h is called one-way function or preimage resistant.

3. Given $m \in \mathcal{M}$, find $m' \neq m$ such that $h(m') = h(m)$.

In this h is called second preimage resistant.

4. Find $m \neq m' \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $h(m) = h(m')$

In this case h is called (strongly) collision free.

Note: Both m and m' may be freely chosen.