

## 10.1 | Security of Hash Functions

Basic requirements

1.  $m \in M$ ,  $h(m)$  is easy to compute
2.  $\forall y \in Y (h(m))$  it is difficult to find  $m$  with  $h(m) = y$   
one-way function or preimage resistant
3.  $m \in M$ , it is difficult to find  $m'$  s.t.  $h(m) = h(m')$   
second preimage resistant
4. ~~It's~~ It is difficult to find  $m, m'$  s.t.  $h(m) = h(m')$   
(strongly) collision free

Ex 10.1)

a)  $h(m) = m \bmod n = y$

fulfills 1, but not 2. take  $m = y$      $m' = m + k \cdot n$      $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

This is not second preimage resistant (and not collision free)

b)  $h(m) = m^2 - 1 \bmod p$  ( $p$  prime)

Not preimage resistant, computing square roots mod  $p$  is easy

$$m, m' = -m, m' = m + k \cdot p \Rightarrow \text{it's not 2nd preimage resistant}$$

c)  $h(m) = m^2 \bmod n$     ( $n = p \cdot q$ )

Preimage resistant, if  $p, q$  are unknown ( $Q R S P(a, n) \Leftrightarrow F_A(m)$ )

2nd preimage resistant: see b)

## Ex 10.2 | The discrete log hash function

Select  $q$  prime s.t.  $p = 2q + 1$  is also prime // Recall Sophie-Germain primes  
 (choose two P.F.  $a, b \pmod{p}$ ) // Prop 7.5

Let  $m = t_0 + t_1 \cdot q$   $0 \leq t_0, t_1 \leq q-1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq m \leq q^2$

Define  $h(m) = a^{t_0} b^{t_1} \pmod{p}$

$h$  maps integers of maximum size  $q^2$  to integers of size  $p$ ; appross.  
 half as many bits. Further,  $h$ , is too slow for practical application.  
 Then,  $h(m)$  is strongly collision free.

Proof: If some  $m \neq m'$  with  $h(m) = h(m')$  is known, then  
 $b \equiv \log_a(b)$  can be determined  $\pmod{p}$ .

Note that:  $\exists k : a^k \equiv b \pmod{p}$  since  $a$  is P.F.  $\pmod{p}$

Write  $m = t_0 + t_1 \cdot q$  and  $m' = t_0' + t_1' \cdot q$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Assume: } h(m) &= h(m') \Rightarrow a^{t_0} b^{t_1} \equiv a^{t_0'} b^{t_1'} \pmod{p} \\ &\Rightarrow a^{t_0} (a^k)^{t_1} \equiv a^{t_0'} (a^k)^{t_1'} \pmod{p} \\ &\Rightarrow a^{t_0} (a^k)^{t_1} \equiv a^{t_0'} (a^k)^{t_1'} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } a \text{ is P.F. } \pmod{p} \text{ and Fermat}$$

$$k(t_1 - t_1') - (t_0' - t_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow k(t_1 - t_1') \equiv (t_0' - t_0) \pmod{p-1}$$

It holds that  $t_1 - t_1' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ , otherwise  $m = m'$

Now  $k$  can be efficiently calculated, it is easy, if

$$(t_1 - t_1')^{-1} \pmod{p-1} \text{ exists.}$$

If the output of a hash function consists of  $n$  bits, then the probability of guessing a document with a given hash value is approximately  $2^{-n}$ , a usually very small number.

However, the probability of contradicting a match is much higher. This is due to the so called "birthday paradox".

Prop 10.3 /  $k$  objects are randomly put into  $n$  bins.

Let  $P_{k,n}$  denote the probability that no bins contain two or more objects (there is no collision). Then

$$P_{k,n} = \frac{n(n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-k+1)}{n^k} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(k-1)k}{2n}\right)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k,n} &= \frac{\# \text{ collision-free assignments}}{\# \text{ all assignments}} = \left(1 - \frac{0}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \exp\left(\ln\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right)\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right)\right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{i}{n}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{k(k-1)}{2n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \ln(x) &\leq x-1 \quad x>0 \quad x=1-\gamma \Leftrightarrow x-1=-\gamma \\ \Rightarrow \ln(1-\gamma) &\leq -\gamma \quad \gamma<1 \quad \text{and } \exp \text{ is monotonically increasing} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n=365$  (days),  $k=23$  people. Assume that birthdays are equally distributed. It holds:

The prob. that at least 2 people have birthday on the same day  $\geq 1/2$

$$\text{Since } P_{23,365} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{22 \cdot 23}{2 \cdot 365}\right) \approx 0.4999998$$

In general  $P_{k,n} \leq 1/2$ , if  $k \geq \sqrt{2n \ln(2)} + 1 \approx 1.17 \sqrt{n} + 1$

$$\text{Since } k-1 \geq \sqrt{2n \ln(2)} \Rightarrow \frac{(k-1)^2}{2n} \geq \ln(2)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{k,n} \leq e^{-\frac{k(k-1)}{2n}} \leq e^{-\frac{(k-1)^2}{2n}} \leq 1/2$$

Applied to hash functions: If  $\approx 1.17 \sqrt{n}$  hash values are generated then with prob greater than  $1/2$  there is a collision.  
To avoid this choose  $n \geq 2^{128}$

Prop 10.4 (Generalized birthday paradox)

$k$  blue and  $k$  red balls are randomly put into  $n$  bins.

If  $k \approx \sqrt{dn}$ , then the prob. that at least one bin contains a red and a blue ball is  $\approx 1 - e^{-\lambda}$ .

Concrete attack against hash functions with hash length  $n=64$  bits  
 $B$  generates slight variations at 35 places in the original document.

Ex: The bank  $S$  { will give  $B$  the amount of  
promises to let }  $\begin{cases} 10 \text{ million} \\ 100 \end{cases}$  { before May 2019 for } use in  
{ American \$ until }

$B$  does the same with a fraudulent document  $m'$ .

See differences in red above.

Now,  $B$  has generated  $2^{35}$  correct messages with corresponding hash value and  $2^{35}$  fraudulent messages and hash values.

The prob. of having a collision between both groups is given by Prop. 10.4:

$$n = 2^{64}, b = 2^{35}, \lambda = \frac{k^2}{n} = 2^6 = 64 \Rightarrow p = 1 - e^{-\lambda} \approx 1$$

Let  $m_i$  and  $m_j'$  be the document with  $h(m_i) = h(m_j')$

A sign  $h(m_i)$ , but  $(m_j', h(m_i))$  is a valid pair

Note:

This attack needs storing  $2 \cdot 2^{3^S}$  hash values,  $\approx 550 \text{ GB}$

Finding a collision can be done with complexity  $O(n \log n)$  by first sorting one group and then comparing each value of the other group with the sorted one.

Defense: Defense against this type of effect: Before signing the hash of a document slightly change it in at least one place, e.g. adding blanks, zeros, ...