

Dr. Michael Reyer

Tutorial 5

- Proposed Solution -

Friday, November 23, 2018

Solution of Problem 1

$$C_i = M_{i+1} \oplus E_K(C_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{MAC}_K^{(n)} = E_K(C_{n-1}) \quad (2)$$

$$C_0 = M_1 \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{C}_i = E_K(\hat{C}_{i-1} \oplus M_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (4)$$

$$\widehat{\text{MAC}}_K^{(n)} = E_K(\hat{C}_{n-1} \oplus M_n) \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{C}_0 = 0 \quad (6)$$

We show that the equivalency

$$\text{MAC}_K^{(n)} = \widehat{\text{MAC}}_K^{(n)} \quad (7)$$

holds, by induction over n .

Proof. $n = 1$:

$$\text{MAC}_K^{(1)} \stackrel{(2)}{=} E_K(C_0) \stackrel{(3)}{=} E_K(M_1) \stackrel{(6)}{=} E_K(\hat{C}_0 \oplus M_1) \stackrel{(5)}{=} \widehat{\text{MAC}}_K^{(1)}$$

$n \rightarrow n+1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MAC}_K^{(n+1)} &\stackrel{(2)}{=} E_K(C_n) \stackrel{(1)}{=} E_K(M_{n+1} \oplus E_K(C_{n-1})) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} E_K(M_{n+1} \oplus \text{MAC}_K^{(n)}) \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} E_K(M_{n+1} \oplus \widehat{\text{MAC}}_K^{(n)}) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} E_K(M_{n+1} \oplus E_K(\hat{C}_{n-1} \oplus M_n)) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} E_K(M_{n+1} \oplus \hat{C}_n) \stackrel{(4)}{=} \hat{C}_{n+1} \stackrel{(2)}{=} \widehat{\text{MAC}}_K^{(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Solution of Problem 2

In the ElGamal verification $v_1 \equiv v_2 \pmod{p}$ needs to be fulfilled.

Recall that $y = a^x \pmod{p}$ and $r = a^k \pmod{p}$ are used:

$$\begin{aligned} y^r r^s &\equiv a^{h(m)} \pmod{p} \\ \Leftrightarrow a^{xr} a^{ks} &\equiv a^{h(m)} \pmod{p} \\ \stackrel{\text{Fermat}}{\Leftrightarrow} xr + ks &\equiv h(m) \pmod{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we expand both sides of the congruence with $u = h(m)^{-1}h(m') \pmod{p-1}$:

$$xru + ksu \equiv h(m)h(m)^{-1}h(m') \equiv h(m') \pmod{p-1} \quad (8)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow xr' + ks' \equiv h(m') \pmod{p-1} \quad (9)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Fermat}}{\Leftrightarrow} a^{xr'} a^{ks'} \equiv a^{h(m')} \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y^{r'} r^{s'} \equiv a^{h(m')} \pmod{p}$$

$$\stackrel{!}{\Leftrightarrow} y^{r'} (r')^{s'} \equiv a^{h(m')} \pmod{p}.$$

The equivalence assumption in the last line holds if $r \equiv r' \pmod{p}$.

Note: In the ElGamal scheme, the condition $1 \leq r < p$ must be checked!

From (8) and (9), we have $ru \equiv r' \pmod{p-1}$.

We have to solve the following system of two congruences w.r.t. r' :

$$\begin{aligned} r' &\equiv ru \pmod{p-1}, \\ r' &\equiv r \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By means of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we get the parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= r \pmod{p}, & a_2 &= ru \pmod{p-1}, \\ m_1 &= p, & m_2 &= p-1, \\ M_1 &= p-1, & M_2 &= p, \\ y_1 &= M_1^{-1} \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}, & y_2 &= M_2^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}, \\ M &= p(p-1). \end{aligned}$$

The Chinese Remainder Theorem leads to the solution:

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i M_i y_i = r(p-1)^2 + r u p \\ &\equiv r(p^2 - p - p + 1 + u p) \\ &\equiv r(p(p-1) - p + 1 + u p) \\ &\equiv r(u p - p + 1) \pmod{M = p(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The forged signature

$$(r', s') \text{ with } r' = r(u p - p + 1) \pmod{M}, \text{ and } s' = s u \pmod{p-1}$$

is a valid signature of $h(m')$, if $1 \leq r < p$ is not checked.

Solution of Problem 3

We have $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, a is a primitive element modulo p , $y = a^x \pmod{p}$, and $a \mid p-1$.

Assume that it is possible to find z such that $a^{rz} \equiv y^r \pmod{p}$, as given in the description.

Let $s = \frac{p-3}{2}(h(m) - rz) \pmod{p-1}$. From $a \mid p-1$ it follows that there exists a $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $va = p-1$.

Choose $r = v$.

Task: Show that (r, s) is a valid signature.

Inserting the provided s yields:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &\equiv y^r r^s \equiv a^{rz} r^{\frac{p-3}{2}(h(m)-rz)} \\ &\equiv a^{rz} \left(r^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\right)^{h(m)-rz} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Furthermore,

$$va = ra \equiv p-1 \pmod{p} \Leftrightarrow r \equiv a^{-1}(p-1) \equiv -(a^{-1}) \pmod{p}.$$

To obtain (10), we exponentiate the above equation by the power of $\frac{p-3}{2}$:

$$\Leftrightarrow r^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \equiv (-(a^{-1}))^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

Note that $(-1) \pmod{p}$ is self-inverse:

$$\Leftrightarrow r^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \equiv \left((-a)^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\right)^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

For $\frac{p-3}{2}$ even, we obtain $(-1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} = 1$, and with that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r^{\frac{p-3}{2}} &\equiv \left(\left((-1)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} a^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &\equiv \left(a^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\right)^{-1} \equiv a^{-\frac{p-3}{2}} \\ &\equiv a^{-\left(\frac{p-1}{2}-1\right)} \equiv a^{-\frac{p-1}{2}+1} \\ &\equiv \underbrace{a^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}}_{\equiv -1 \pmod{p}} a \equiv -a \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last line, note that a is a primitive element and that $\left(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

This result provides the following for (10):

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &\equiv y^r r^s \equiv a^{rz} r^{\frac{p-3}{2}(h(m)-rz)} \\ &\equiv a^{rz} (-a)^{h(m)-rz} \\ &\equiv a^{rz} a^{h(m)-rz} (-1)^{h(m)-rz} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

As m is chosen such that $h(m) - rz$ is even:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &\equiv a^{rz} a^{h(m)-rz} \\ &\equiv a^{h(m)} \equiv v_2 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the forged signature is valid.