

3.3. Estimating the keyword length of a Vigenère cipher

Stochastic model:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, \dots, u-1\} \text{ Alphabet}$$

k keyword length, n message length, $k \mid n$

$$M = (M_{11}, \dots, M_{k1}, M_{k+11}, \dots, M_{2k1}, M_{2k+11}, \dots, M_{3k1}, \dots, M_n)$$

$$\oplus K = (K_{11}, \dots, K_{k1}, K_{12}, \dots, K_{k2}, K_{13}, \dots, K_{k3}, \dots, K_k)$$

$$C = (C_{11}, \dots, C_{k1}, C_{k+11}, \dots, C_{21}, \dots, \dots, C_n)$$

Assumption: M_i i.i.d., $P(M_i = \ell) = p_\ell$ (known)

$$K_i \text{ i.i.d.}, P(K_i = \ell) = \frac{1}{u}$$

I_c : index of coincidence, $I_c = \frac{1}{\binom{u}{2}} \sum_{i < j} Y_{ij}$

$$K_M = \sum_{\ell=0}^{u-1} p_\ell^2$$

$$Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & C_i = C_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$E(I_c) = \frac{1}{k(u-1)} \left[(u-k) K_M + u(k-1) \frac{1}{u} \right] \quad (*)$$

Outline of proof.

Consider 2 cases

$$1. \quad i \equiv j \pmod{k} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad i \sim j$$

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \sum_{e=0}^{u-1} p e^2 = k_M$$

$$2. \quad i \not\equiv j \pmod{k} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad i \not\sim j$$

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \frac{1}{u}$$

Finally:

$$E(\bar{I}_c) = \frac{1}{\binom{u}{2}} \sum_{i \sim j} E(Y_{ij})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{u}{2}} \left[\sum_{\substack{i \sim j \\ i \not\sim j}} E(Y_{ij}) + \sum_{\substack{i \sim j \\ i \not\sim j}} E(Y_{ij}) \right]$$

$$= (*) \quad \square$$

Resolve (*) for k

$$k = \frac{u \left(k_M - \frac{1}{u} \right)}{(u-1) E(\bar{I}_c) + k_M - \frac{u}{u}}$$

Application: Given a ciphertext (c_1, \dots, c_n)

Estimate $E(\bar{I}_c)$ by $\hat{\bar{I}}_c = \frac{1}{u(u-1)} \sum_{e=0}^{u-1} u e (u e - 1)$

$$\hat{\bar{I}}_c \rightarrow E(\bar{I}_c)$$

Use \hat{I}_c in the formula above.

$$\hat{k} = \frac{n (k_M - \frac{1}{n})}{(n-1) \hat{I}_c + k_M - \frac{n}{n}}$$

In Germany: $k_M = 0.0762$, $n = 26$

Hence:

$$\hat{k} = \frac{0.03724}{(n-1) \hat{I}_c - 0.03854 + 0.0762}$$

If k is known, write C as follows

$$\hat{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ c_{k+1} & \dots & c_{2k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{sk+1} & \dots & c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The columns are unalphabetical, apply frequency analysis to the columns.

3.4. Vigenere cipher with running key

$$\begin{array}{r}
 a_1 \dots a_n \\
 \oplus \quad s_1 \dots s_n \quad \text{(taken from a book)} \\
 \hline
 c_1 \dots c_n
 \end{array}$$

Frequency analysis is still possible, if s_1, \dots, s_n is from a language.

Model: M_i r.v., model occurrence of plaintext char.
 K_i r.v., " " " " key char.

Consider: $\{E, T, A, O, I, N, S\}$ (57%)

$$P(M_i \in \{E, \dots, S\}) \approx 0.57 \approx P(K_i \in \{E, \dots, S\})$$

hence,

$$P((M_i, K_i) \in \{E, \dots, S\}^2) = 0.57^2 = 0.3249$$

Appr. $\frac{1}{3}$ of all ciphertext char. is generated by a combination of most frequent char.

Defense against this attack:

random key stream \rightarrow one time pad

However, never use a key twice.

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \oplus (k_1, \dots, k_n) = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) \oplus (k_1, \dots, k_n) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$$

Oscar/Eve

$$(c_i - d_i) \bmod 26 = (a_i - b_i) \bmod 26$$

vulnerable to the ~~the~~ above frequency attack.

4. Entropy and Perfect Secrecy

4.1. Entropy

Consider random experiments, e.g.,

$$(0.9, 0.05, 0.05)$$

$$(0.33, 0.33, 0.34)$$

A measure of uncertainty or information.

The right measure was introduced by Shannon (49)

Formal description

X : discrete r.v. with finite support $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$,
distribution: $P(X=x_i) = p_i, i=1, \dots, m$

D.4.1. Let $c > 1$ be an arbitrary constant.

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log_c p_i \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m P(X=x_i) \log_c P(X=x_i) \end{aligned}$$

is called entropy (of X). \perp

Convention: $0 \cdot \log 0 \stackrel{!}{=} 0$, omit c , but fix c .

Analogous definition for

(X, Y) with support $X \times Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \times \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$
distribution $P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) = p_{ij}$

D. 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } H(X, Y) &= - \sum_{i,j} P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

is called (joint) entropy of (X, Y) .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } H(X|Y) &= - \sum_{j=1}^d P(Y=y_j) \sum_{i=1}^m P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \end{aligned}$$

is called conditional entropy of equivocation.

Th. 4.3. (Properties)

$$\text{a) } 0 \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} H(X) \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \log m$$

" = " in (i) $\Leftrightarrow \exists x_i : P(X=x_i) = 1$ (singleton distribution)

" = " in (ii) $\Leftrightarrow P(X=x_i) = \frac{1}{m}$ (uniform distribution)
for all $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\text{b) } 0 \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} H(X|Y) \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} H(X)$$

" = " in (i) $\Leftrightarrow P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) = 1 \forall i,j : P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) > 0$
" = " in (ii) $\Leftrightarrow X, Y$ stoch. independent.