

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log p_i \quad , \quad p_i = P(X=x_i), i=1, \dots, m$$

$$H(X, Y) = - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij} \quad , \quad p_{ij} = P(X=x_i, Y=y_j)$$

$$H(X|Y) = - \sum_{i,j} P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i | Y=y_j)$$

Th. 4.3.

a) $0 \leq H(X) \leq \log m$

b) $0 \leq H(X|Y) \leq H(X)$

c) $H(X) \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} H(X, Y) \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} H(X) + H(Y)$

"=" in (ii) $\Leftrightarrow X, Y$ are stoch. independent

"=" in (i) $\Leftrightarrow \forall i, j : P(Y=y_j | X=x_i) = 1$
 $\forall i, j : P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) > 0$.

d) $H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$
 $= H(Y) + H(X|Y)$ (chain rule)

Proof. Any book on Information Theory.

Shannon: $0 \leq H(X) \leq \bar{n}(q)$ for all u.d. codes
 \uparrow average code word length (*)

$\circ \log m$ is the worst case average code word length

$\circ R = 1 - \frac{H(X)}{\log m}$ is called redundancy.

(*) Take care of log-base and size of alphabet.

4.2. Perfect Secrecy

Cryptosystem $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, e, e, d)$ with finite sets

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\} \quad \text{messages}$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K_1, \dots, K_k\} \quad \text{keys}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \quad \text{ciphertexts}$$

\hat{M}, \hat{K} stoch. indep. r.v. with support \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K} , resp.,
distribution

$$P(\hat{M} = M_i) = p_i, \quad P(\hat{K} = K_j) = q_j$$

Encryption: $\hat{C} = e(\hat{M}, \hat{K})$ with distribution

$$P(\hat{C} = C_\ell) = r_\ell = \sum_{(i,j): e(M_i, K_j) = C_\ell} p_i q_j, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n$$

Corresponding entropies:

$$H(\hat{M}) = - \sum_i p_i \log p_i, \quad H(\hat{K}) = - \sum_j q_j \log q_j$$

$$H(\hat{C}) = - \sum_\ell r_\ell \log r_\ell$$

$H(\hat{K} | \hat{C})$ is called key equivocation

$H(\hat{M} | \hat{C})$ is called message equivocation

Def. 4.9. A cryptosystem $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Y}, e, e, d)$ is said to have perfect secrecy, if

$$H(\hat{M} | \hat{C}) = H(\hat{M}) \quad \perp$$

Interpretation: No information about the message is obtained from the ciphertext.

Corollary 4.11. A cryptosystem has perfect secrecy $\Leftrightarrow \hat{M}$ and \hat{C} are stoch. independent

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\hat{M} = M_i | \hat{C} = C_e) = P(\hat{M} = M_i) \quad \forall_i \text{ and } P(\hat{C} = C_e) > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\hat{C} = C_e | \hat{M} = M_i) = P(\hat{C} = C_e) \quad \forall_i \text{ and } P(\hat{M} = M_i) > 0 \quad \perp$$

Tedious to check. Easy sufficient conditions are needed

Th. 4.14. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Y}, e, e, d)$ has perfect secrecy if

$$(i) \quad P(\hat{K} = K) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|} \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{Y}$$

(ii) for all $M \in \mathcal{M}, C \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a unique $K \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $e(M, K) = C$. \perp

Proof.

$$P(\hat{C}=c | \hat{M}=m) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{P(e(\hat{M}, \hat{K})=c, \hat{M}=m)}{P(\hat{M}=m)}$$

$$= \frac{P(e(M, K)=c, \hat{M}=m)}{P(\hat{M}=m)}$$

$$= P(e(M, K)=c) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} P(\hat{K}=K(M, c)) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

Further:

$$P(\hat{C}=c) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} P(\hat{C}=c | \hat{M}=M) \cdot P(\hat{M}=M)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|} \underbrace{\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} P(\hat{M}=M)}_{=1} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|}$$

Hence: \hat{M}, \hat{C} are stoch. indep.

\Rightarrow perfect secrecy
Cor. 4.11.

□

Vernam ciphers have perfect secrecy.

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, \dots, m-1\}, \mathcal{M}_N = \mathcal{E}_N = \mathcal{Y}_N = \mathcal{X}^N$$

$$e(M, K) = ((a_1 + s_1) \bmod m, \dots, (a_N + s_N) \bmod m)$$

$$M = (a_1, \dots, a_N), K = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$$

$$\hat{M}_N = (\hat{M}_1, \dots, \hat{M}_N) \text{ r.v. with support } \mathcal{M}_N$$

$$\hat{K}_N = (\hat{K}_1, \dots, \hat{K}_N), \hat{K}_1, \dots, \hat{K}_N \text{ i.i.d. } P(\hat{K}_j = i) = \frac{1}{m},$$

$i = 1, \dots, m$

Th. 4.15. Vernam cipher has perfect secrecy.

Proof.

(ii) $\forall M \in \mathcal{M}_N, C \in \mathcal{E}_N \exists! K \in \mathcal{K}_N : e(M, K) = C. \checkmark$

$$(i) P(\hat{K}_N = K) = P(\hat{K}_1 = s_1, \dots, \hat{K}_N = s_N) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^N P(\hat{K}_i = s_i) = \frac{1}{m^N} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}_N|} \quad \square$$

5. Fast Block Ciphers

5.1. The Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- 1973 : Nat. Bureau of Standards (NBS), today Nat. Inst. of Standards and Technology (NIST) solicited proposals for a cryptosystem. An algorithm developed at IBM was chosen, an improvement LUCIFER.
- 1975 : DES was published, public discussion started.
- 1977 : DES became a standard for unclassified application.
- DES was reviewed every 5 years. Initially it was considered to be secure for 10-15 years. It proved to be more durable.
- 2005 : NIST suspended DES as a standard.

5.1.1. Key generation

Key length 56 bits + 8 parity check bits (for error detection)

$$K_0 = (k_{1,1}, \dots, k_{7,1}, b_1, k_{9,1}, \dots, k_{15,1}, b_2, \dots, k_{57,1}, \dots, k_{63,1}, b_8)$$

From K_0 16 subkeys K_1, \dots, K_{16} are generated:

- Form 2 blocks 28 bits each: C_0, D_0
- Construct C_u, D_u from C_{u-1}, D_{u-1} by a cyclic left shift by s_u positions with

$$s_u = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in \{1, 2, 9, 16\} \\ 2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad u = 1, \dots, 16$$

- From each (C_u, D_u) select 48 bits, which are the subkeys K_1, \dots, K_{16} .

Each key is used in one standard building block, (SBB).